

Standard Revision

MINHERS Interim Addendum 58i Pre-Drywall Inspections QA

Date Approved:	
Effective Date:	
Transition Period:	
Transition Period End Date:	
Proponent:	
Organization:	

June 6, 2021 June 6, 2021 None None Staff RESNET

Purpose:

Addendum 58i Revises Chapter 9 of the MINHERS to clarify the status of Pre-Drywall Field QA reviews in total required Field QA reviews. The revised language indicates pre-drywall and post-drywall inspection field reviews are of equal value and allows Providers and QADs to emphasize QA at whatever construction stage it is needed. They are allowed to exercise good judgement to ensure their QA activities represent a good cross section of the HERS Rater's or RFI's body of work.

Revision of Chapter 9- Pre-Drywall QA

Revise Sections of the MINHERs as shown below in underline/strikeout format

904.3.3 HERS Rater Quality Assurance Field review (QA Field review).

904.3.3.1 Determining the number of ratings to receive QA Field reviews.

904.3.3.1.1 HERS Raters. For each HERS Rater, the Provider's Quality Assurance Designee shall be responsible for an annual onsite QA Field review of the greater of one (1) rating on a completed home or one percent (1%) of the HERS Rater's annual total of ratings for which Confirmed or Sampled ratings were provided. When determining the number of QA Field reviews to complete for a HERS Rater, round up to the next whole number when the percentage calculation yields a decimal point, e.g. 101 ratings x 1% = 1.01 means that 2 QA Field reviews shall be completed.

904.3.3.1.2 Rating Field Inspectors. For HERS Raters utilizing RFI's, the Quality Assurance Designee shall ensure that a QA Field review is completed on the greater of one (1) rating on a completed home or one percent (1%) of each RFI's annual total of confirmed or sampled ratings the RFI assisted with. When determining the number of QA Field reviews to complete for an RFI, round up to the next whole number when the percentage calculation yields a decimal point, e.g. 101 ratings x 1% = 1.01 means that 2 QA Field reviews shall be completed. The RFI QA Field reviews may fulfill all of the HERS Rater's annual QA Field review requirement, but only if the HERS Rater does not do field inspections on completed homes. When a HERS Rater also does field inspections on completed homes, they must have field QA at the same calculated rate of 1% using the above methodologies.

904.3.3.1.3 Pre-drywall QA Field reviews. In addition toFor QA Field reviews on completed homes, for ratings on new homes, the Quality Assurance Designee may perform one or more-a pre-drywall QA Field reviews on each HERS Rater or RFI. Pre-drywall QA Field reviews can be used to meet all or part of the 1% QA Field review requirement for a HERS Rater or RFI-in addition to the QA Field reviews on completed homes, and can replace 10%, but no more than 25%, of the total number of QA Field reviews (rounded up).

904.3.3.1.4 For example, if a HERS Rater or RFI is required to have one (1) QA Field review, one (1) review can be on a completed home and one (1) additional QA Field review can be on the same or a different home before drywall is installed. If a HERS Rater or RFI is required to have two (2) to ten (10) QA Field reviews, one (1) pre-drywall QA Field review may be performed and can count towards one, but no more than one, of the QA Field reviews. If a HERS Rater or RFI is required to have eleven (11) or more QA Field reviews, two (2) pre-drywall QA Field reviews may be performed and they can count towards two, but no more than two, of the QA Field reviews.

904.3.3.1.45 HERS Raters and RFIs are exempt from receiving a QA field review for pre-drywall or final inspections and/or testing if they have not performed inspections and/or testing of any minimum rated features for pre-drywall or completed homes within the calendar year. For example, if a HERS Rater <u>orof</u> RFI have not completed any pre-drywall inspections within the calendar year, they are exempt from QA Field reviews for pre-drywall inspections.

904.3.3.1.56–"Remote" QA Field reviews. All HERS Raters must annually receive a minimum of one (1) on-site, in-person QA Field reviews on the total annual confirmed or sampled ratings or inspections completed. All RFIs must annually receive a minimum of one (1) on-site, in person QA field review on the total annual pre-drywall or final field inspections completed. All other QA Field reviews, for completed and pre-drywall homes, may be performed using a "remote" QA Field review methodology specified by RESNET.

904.3.3.1.67 Sampled Ratings. For the purposes of calculating the one (1) rating/home or one percent (1%) QA Field review requirement for HERS Rater and RFI sampled ratings, all the homes rated by a HERS Rater, or for which an RFI assisted, using sampling shall be considered and not just the number of homes tested and inspected. If at least two (2) homes are required for QA Field review, a maximum of one (1) of the homes shall be a non-tested, sampled home. To ensure that Quality Assurance is being completed on HERS Raters and RFI's rather than builders, the balance of homes included in the QA Field reviews shall have received field testing and/or inspections.

904.3.3.1.<u>78</u> Quality Assurance Designees shall complete a minimum of 1% quarterly QA Field reviews of Rating Quality Assurance Provider's ratings, based on the total number of ratings registered by the Provider in the previous quarter, until all annual QA requirements for the Provider have been met for each Rater. QA field reviews are not required on every Rater every quarter.

904.3.3.2 Requirements for QA Field reviews.

904.3.3.2.1 HERS Raters. The QA Field review shall confirm the accuracy of all stages of the rating process (e.g. data collection, reporting, and energy simulation file creation and/or updating) for the rating receiving a QA Field review.

904.3.3.2.1.1 Collect dimensional measurements in the field for the home to evaluate the accuracy of those determined by the HERS Rater in the field or from plans, including conformance to the requirements set forth in Chapters 3 and 8 and Appendix A of these Standards.

904.3.3.2.1.2 Complete in the field all necessary performance testing and all necessary inspections of minimum rated features for the home to evaluate the accuracy of those determined by the HERS Rater, including conformance to the requirements set forth in Chapters 3 and 8 and Appendix A of these Standards.

904.3.3.2.1.3 Evaluate inputs entered by the HERS Rater into the energy simulation file for the rated home to determine conformance with data from 904.3.3.2.1.1 and 904.3.3.2.1.2 as well as Chapters 3 and 8 and Appendix A of these Standards.

904.3.3.2.2 Rating Field Inspectors. The QA Field review shall confirm the accuracy of data collection and reporting by the RFI for the rating receiving a QA Field review.

904.3.3.2.2.1 As necessary, collect dimensional measurements in the field for the home to evaluate the accuracy of those that may have been determined by the RFI, including conformance to the requirements set forth in Chapter 8 and Appendix A of these Standards.

904.3.3.2.2.2 Complete in the field all necessary performance testing and all necessary inspections of minimum rated features for the home to evaluate the accuracy of those that may have been determined by the RFI, including conformance to the requirements set forth in Chapter 8 and Appendix A of these Standards.

904.3.3.2.3 Pre-drywall. For homes receiving a QA Field review prior to the installation of drywall, the QA shall complete in the field all necessary performance testing and all necessary inspections of minimum rated features for the home to evaluate the accuracy of those determined by the HERS Rater or RFI, including conformance to the requirements set forth in Chapter 8 and Appendix A of these Standards.

904.3.3.2.4 Each rating selected for a QA Field review for each HERS Rater and RFI shall be randomly selected to ensure that a representative sample of all home types, locations and builders is achieved.

904.3.3.2.5 Remote QA Field reviews. QA Field reviews not completed on-site, inperson by a Quality Assurance Designee, may be completed remotely using video technology and processes, protocols, and procedures approved by RESNET.

904.3.4 Quality Assurance for Multifamily Projects

904.3.4.1 In addition to the Quality Assurance requirements specified in this Chapter, quality assurance for multifamily projects shall include, at a minimum, the following:

904.3.4.1.1 All dwelling units that are certified or qualified by the use of sampling shall be considered to be "Ratings". QA File and QA Field reviews shall be conducted on a percentage of all the dwelling units certified or qualified under sampling, rather than the percentage of tested and inspected dwelling units.

904.3.4.1.2 If units within a multifamily building have multiple space conditioning configurations such that some units have ducts and other units do not, the Quality Assurance Designee shall choose a unit with ducts for QA Field review. Additionally, if the building has some units with ducts that are within conditioned space while others have ducts that are outside of the building envelope, the Quality Assurance Designee shall choose a unit with ducts outside of the envelope for QA Field review.

904.3.4.1.3 For multifamily projects, when selected, QA Field reviews shall include a comprehensive inspection of all minimum rated features that are possible to be inspected within the selected units and within the building during the time of the QA Field review. This means that the Quality Assurance Designee shall inspect attic insulation via a common attic access where present, mechanical rooms that house common mechanical systems that serve multiple units, common ventilation systems, common laundry etc.

904.3.4.2 If the annual rating volume of a HERS Rater is such that more than one QA Field review is required for that annual period's QA Field review quota (i.e. the HERS Rater completed more than 100 ratings during the annual period), no more than one QA Field review within a particular multifamily development shall count toward meeting the total QA Field review quota.

904.3.4.2.1 Exception. If a Rater/RFI did not perform ratings on any other single or multifamily buildings for the calendar year and the multifamily building is 100 units or less, then pre-drywall and final QA Field reviews may be performed on the multifamily building that was rated.

904.3.4.2.2 Exception. If a particular multifamily development contained more than 100 units. In such an instance, one QA Field review per every 100 units of that development shall count towards the annual QA Field review quota.

904.3.4.2.3 Exception. If the HERS Rater had one or more RFI's who worked with them throughout the annual period, the Quality Assurance Designee may select multiple units within a particular multifamily development to count towards the annual QA Field review quota for each RFI as long as those additional QA Field reviews represent work performed by each individual RFI during the annual period.

904.3.5 Non-compliance of a reviewed rating shall trigger corrective action.

904.3.5.1 A Quality Assurance Designees will conduct an evaluation using the RESNET QA Review Checklist to determine if the file or field QA review complies with the RESNET Standards or needs corrective action.

904.3.5.2 Under the supervision of the Quality Assurance Designee, non-compliant rating(s) shall be corrected in order to come into compliance with RESNET technical Standards.

904.3.5.3 The Quality Assurance Designee shall develop and implement a coaching action plan for the HERS Rater that addresses the underlying problems that led to the non-compliant rating. The coaching plan shall include mentoring the HERS Rater.

904.3.5.4 The Provider shall initiate appropriate disciplinary action on the HERS Rater/RFI in accordance with the Provider's written HERS Rater/RFI disciplinary procedures.

904.3.5.5 Multiple instances of non-compliance with QA File and/or QA Field review for a Rater or RFI shall trigger an increased rate of QA File reviews or QA Field reviews.

904.3.5.5.1 When in the course of quality assurance review, as ratings outlined in 904.3.5.5.2 and 904.3.5.5.3, in a twelve (12) month period from January 1st through December 31st are found to be out of compliance by more than 5%, or the Quality Assurance Designee determines that field work (e.g. testing or inspections of minimum rated features) is being completed inaccurately or incompletely, the following, at a minimum, shall occur:

904.3.5.5.1.1 The Rater shall be placed on probation;

904.3.5.5.1.2 If the noncompliant ratings are due to errors found in QA File review, the Rater's File QA shall be increased to 15% ratings for the next twelve (12) month period. Round up to the next whole number when the percentage calculation yields a decimal point, e.g. 50 ratings x 15% = 7.5 means that 8 QA File reviews shall be completed;

904.3.5.5.1.3 When appropriate (e.g. the HERS Rater/RFI previously struggled with field compliance, a piece of equipment is used in the rating that is not commonly found in the market or used by a builder, field test results are out of typical range for the market, etc.), a QA Field review shall be completed by the Quality Assurance Designee on the ratings that were out of compliance by more than 5%;

904.3.5.5.1.4 If the noncompliant ratings are due to inaccurate or incomplete field work, the Rater and/or RFI Field QA shall be increased to 2% or 2 ratings whichever is larger for the next twelve (12) consecutive months. Round up to the next whole number when the percentage calculation yields a decimal point, e.g. 50 ratings x 2% = 1 means that 2 QA Field reviews shall be completed.

904.3.5.5.2 The threshold for Raters and RFI's who performed work on fewer than 100 homes in the prior or current twelve (12) month period from January 1st through December 31st shall be "two (2) or more ratings";

904.3.5.5.3 The threshold for Raters and RFI's who performed work on 100 homes or greater in the prior or current twelve (12) month period from January 1st through December 31st shall be "three (3) or more ratings or 1% of ratings, whichever is greater";

904.3.5.5.4 If additional noncompliance or major errors are discovered during the period of increased File or Field QA, the Quality Assurance Designee shall review 100% of the next five (5) rating files submitted or field inspections conducted. If noncompliance or major errors continue to be discovered, the Rater may be suspended in accordance with the Provider's written HERS Rater/RFI disciplinary procedure.

904.4 Significant Non-compliance by Rating Quality Assurance Providers.

It is the expectation of RESNET that Providers fully comply with all the requirements set forth in these Standards. Discovery of one or more areas of non-compliance via the RESNET Quality Assurance process, reporting by a Quality Assurance Designee as part of the Provider's Quality Assurance process, or in the course of RESNET's research of an ethics or consumer complaint will result in the Quality Assurance Designee working with a Provider to come back into compliance. However, on occasion, there may be instances where actions by a Provider are truly egregious and,

as such, would be deemed to be "significant non-compliance". This Section seeks to define the thresholds when actions by a Provider are deemed to be significant non-compliance, thereby requiring that the Quality Assurance Designee report the significant non-compliance to RESNET and additional action by RESNET may be taken.

904.4.1 Significant non-compliance by Providers shall include, but not be limited to, the following:

904.4.1.1 Failure to comply with multiple individual requirements, or requirements impacting multiple HERS Raters and/or ratings, for Providers set forth in the RESNET Standards and enumerated in a RESNET Quality Assurance Checklist;

904.4.1.2 Failure of a Provider to comply with the RESNET Standards of Practice, Code of Ethics, or Conflict of Interest Disclosure;

904.4.1.3 Failure to follow a Provider's written HERS Rater/RFI disciplinary procedures for known or obvious non-compliance with the RESNET Standards, Standards of Practice, Code of Ethics, or Conflict of Interest Disclosure.

904.4.2 Reporting of significant non-compliance to RESNET.

904.4.2.1 Quality Assurance Designees must report all significant non-compliance by a Provider to RESNET when it becomes known to the Quality Assurance Designee so that RESNET may assist the Quality Assurance Designee in working with a Provider to come back into compliance.

904.4.2.2 Failure of a Quality Assurance Designee to report significant noncompliance issues may result in actions taken by RESNET as stipulated in Section 905.2.7.