**RESNET® SDC 1550 Technical Task Group Meeting Minutes**

May 13th, 2025

12:30 PM – 1:45 PM ET

[MEETING RECORDING](https://zoom.us/rec/share/qTKbZ5FTjzBChu8FDW84zF60nHz8nKg4Gg6om2SNZdl5hivIrEXM3ePkhLXnWOZQ.drRgdjb7xSySVqGt)Passcode: U@15kAG&

Present: Andy Buccino, Philip Squires, Chris Magwood, Ariel Brenner, Amanda Hickman, Ari Rapport, Karla Butterfield, Tracy Huynh, Matthew Cooper, Corey Self, Charlie Haack

Staff: Katie Stewart

**Meeting started at 12:35 PM ET**

[Link to Comments](https://www.dropbox.com/scl/fi/q3hav075rr9lqavu8v7zt/250306_1550-Comments_PDS01.xlsx?rlkey=qsse0tkxemk66xzfqyqlpixze&e=2&st=0he7g79s&dl=0)

[Link to Draft Standard PDS-01](https://www.dropbox.com/scl/fi/4ine3cvrj4hs91lh8v8t2/250306_PDS02-RESNET-C1550.docx?rlkey=ldaow0956tyw1qiflzc69ewco&e=2&st=bldtfv8p&dl=0)

Resume addressing comments

**Row 131**

Repeat of a previous suggestion to remove insulation and barriers from assessed products, arguing that carbon savings aren’t captured in this standard, unlike Standard 301. Suggests using 1550 alongside 301.

Rejected by the committee.

**Row 132**

Minor language clarification to shorten a section.

Accepted by the committee.

**Row 133**

Request to remove exclusion of demolition and disposal emissions in retrofit scenarios. Committee clarified they’re not providing guidance or requiring accounting for these emissions.

Accepted by the committee.

**Row 134**

Editorial suggestion to use the term "assessments."

Accepted by the committee.

**Row 135**

This is a multi-part comment. First part (carbon storage) addressed by moving it to voluntary calculation section. The second part proposes inclusion of operational carbon savings, which are handled in other RESNET standards.

Rejected by the committee.

**Row 136**

Request to make GWP from refrigerant leakage a required calculation. The committee noted the standard doesn’t include B-module emissions like refrigerants.

Rejected by the committee.

**Row 137**

Suggestion to allow threshold calculations already discussed in Row 65. The committee determined the issue has already been resolved.

Rejected by the committee.

**Row 138**

Question regarding a GWP value in voluntary refrigerant tables. Jacob Racusin has input but is not present.

Flagged for follow-up at the next meeting with Jacob.

**Row 139**

This comment concerns is about carbon storage calculations; seeks more clarity. Voluntary calculation approach helps address it.

Rejected by the committee.

**Row 140**

Language clarification: update “carbon content factor” to align with ISO term “GWP bio.” Also references carbonation, which the committee is not accounting for in A1–A3.

Accepted in principle.

**Row 141**

Request to remove carbon storage entirely. The committee reiterated it has been moved to the “voluntary section.”

Accepted in principle.

**Row 142**

Same issue as Row 141.

Accepted in principle; pending confirmation with Rick Dixon.

**Row 143**

The comment suggests removing references to verification for projected assessments. The committee prefers to retain flexibility.

Rejected by the committee.

**Row 144**

Duplicates Row 146. Updates “approved inspector” to “Certified Rater.”

Accepted in principle.

**Row 145**

Suggests removing general contractors and suppliers from documentation sources. Carla recommends keeping them for flexibility. Revise language to be more general and remove “approved inspector.”

Accepted in principle.

**Row 146**

Suggestion to include a list of approved software/rating tools in the appendix.

Rejected by the committee (will be handled through other channels).

**Row 147**

Related to Row 146. Recommends reporting software tool used without using “approved” terminology.

Accepted in principle.

**Row 148**

Suggests adding EN 15978 (European EPD standard) to the reference list. Also raises the issue of possible deviation from ISO standards, though specifics are unclear.

Accepted in principle (first two items); no action on third point due to lack of detail.

**Row 149**

Language clarification regarding “life cycle stages” vs. “information modules.” Already addressed in Row 108.

Accepted in principle.

**Row 150**

Questions absence of comparability requirements for EPDs or LCAs from ISO/EN standards.

Rejected by the committee.

**Row 151**

The commentor criticizes limited scope (A1–A3), without suggesting alternative text.

Rejected by the committee.

**Additional Points Noted:**

* Remaining comments were supportive of various aspects of the standard and referenced earlier discussions.
* Ahead of the next meeting, additional information will be circulated to address outstanding questions.
* Chris Magwood aims to confirm RESNET guidance on which elements must go out for further comment(s).

**Meeting adjourned at 1:00 PM ET**