**RESNET® SDC 200 Training TG Meeting Minutes**

April 22nd, 2025

Second & Fourth Tuesdays of the Month

11:00 AM – 12:00 PM ET

[MEETING RECORDING](https://zoom.us/rec/share/5Y-HHLD4I70j_3ltjrQBCQtKSR1aPkof8o81z93ioBqxWfJLWO6dP7MXLAWUWpo6.MNX_NIPDH0P6864Z?startTime=1745334303000)

Passcode: $JMCKhQ0

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Members & Staff** | **Present** | **Absent** | **Other Attendees** |
| **Members**  Sharla Riead  Doug McCleery  Eurihea Speciale  Robert DuTeau  Zak Shadid  Olga Cano  Tei Kucharski  Mark Schroer  Mary English  Mike Barcik | **Members**  Doug McCleery Robert DuTeau  Sharla Riead  Olga Cano  Eurihea Speciale  **RESNET STAFF**  Katie Stewart |  | Ari Rapport |

Meeting started at 11:04 AM ET

**New Work Item – Number 87 Dealing with Multiple QA Providers**

1. **Training & Certification Updates:** The main task is to update the training and certification requirements to be aligned with industry standards. The group discussed how certified professionals, such as RFIs/HERS® Modelers, are often held responsible for more than one QA provider due to their freelance work. The distinction between HERS Raters and RFIs/HERS Modelers is clear, but there’s no clarity yet on how this applies to Modelers and RFIs.
2. **Certification Reciprocity:** A certification should be universally accepted and not subject to individual interpretation. Sharla Riead confirmed that this is part of the standards under "Reciprocity," but it should be checked for all certifications, not just for HERS Raters.
3. **Review of Chapters 1, 2, and 9:** There’s no specific committee for Chapter One, but consistency between Chapters 1 and 2 needs to be reviewed. The current version of Chapter 2 (201.1) is outdated, and efforts are being made to get a clean copy, pending Sharla’s response. There is also uncertainty if Chapter One has a similar editable version uploaded to a Google Doc. If changes are made to Chapter 2 that affect Chapter 9, communication with the SDC 900 committee is necessary for cross-committee collaboration.
4. **Software and Registry Solutions:** The registry is outdated and lacks functionality. Sharla noted that the software is discussed in Chapter One, and its relationship to the registry needs to be clarified. The group discussed ensuring that RFI/Modeler information is properly captured in the registry and that feedback can be provided by multiple providers. There is uncertainty around how well the current registry system supports RFI/Modeler data.
5. **Training and Certification Responsibilities:** The responsibility lies with the providers to report credentials and maintain training certifications. Sharla confirmed this. QA needs further attention and will be referred to the SDC 900 committee, with recommendations provided when available.
6. **Suspension of Providers:** Sharla explained that suspensions are provider-specific and do not necessarily apply across all providers. There is a longstanding issue with "provider hopping," and it is unclear whether this has been adequately addressed in the standards. This is an ethical issue, and transparency in the registry is meant to address it. Further clarification is needed.
7. **Qualification Across Providers:** Sharla confirmed that qualifications from one provider should apply across others, but the standards need to make this clear. This issue is highlighted for follow-up.
8. **Enforcement of Changes:** There is concern about how to enforce changes retroactively. The responsibility for tracking certifications has been in the standards, and providers historically should report this information. The group agreed that there should be baseline equivalency for trainers, but this has not always been consistent. This could be added to “recertification requirements.”
9. **Concerns About Provider Certification Policies:** Eurihea Speciale raised concerns about providers not allowing certified individuals to take their certification elsewhere. This is a critical point for future discussion.
10. **Field Training Deficiencies:** There’s widespread recognition that field combustion safety training - currently a two-hour field training component - is not being effectively implemented. The training is often reduced to watching a video, which is a significant deficiency. The group emphasized that this needs to remain in the standard, and hands-on training is necessary. It was agreed that this is a unanimous decision.
11. **RESNET Enforcement:** The same type of spot checks conducted for onsite teams should be extended to training programs and providers to ensure compliance. RESNET has agreed to administer and oversee training for EAPs to ensure that everyone meets training requirements. A deficiency regarding the Energy Star training was noted, and this needs to be addressed in the standards.

**Adjourned**

Meeting ended at 12:00 PM ET