**Chapter 1 and Chapter 9 Task Group Meeting Notes 1/30/2023**

**Zoom**

**12 PM Pacific**

Members Present: Sharla Riead, Leo Jansen, Christine Do, Laurel Elam, David Choo, John Hensley, Chris McTaggart, Michael Arblaster,Scott Doyle

Members Absent:

**Discussed Remote QA Field Review Amendment Draft from NEHERS**

John provided context on the origins of the proposed amendment. The group took a straw poll:

David - support, commented that this would change the rest of 904, but also sees how remote QA cannot be a replacement

Sharla - torn

Leo - support, thinks that the methodology for the remote QA process needs to be fleshed out more. Leo also discussed chain-of-custody proposal that he had proposed to RESNET.

Michael - support, but also thought that the 2nd sentence “All RFIs must …” was worded strangely.

Chris - does not support, experience that this would dilute QA. He believes that the learning opportunities cannot be replicated via remote QA, and also that technology cannot fully replace.

Scott - does not support, although sees potential for remote QA.

Laurel - does not support, remote QA has its own issues too

John proposed that this task group make a recommendation to SDC 900 to reject the proposal.

Group decided to reject, and this will inform our discussion going forward.